INTERPRETIVE REPORT-

Voice' Chiefs Chafe at Curbs

By MARY McGRORY

had a special meaning for the cultures, "but with the rest of the government." people he left behind.

what he meant when he said in remarks: "The Voice of Amer- secretary of state. ica is not the voice of the administration."

PYRGHT

This point has been at debate since the Voice was first heard some 20 years ago, and the controversy has heated up since the intensification of the war in South Viet Nam.

Old-timers in the Voice say they are going through a period almost as bad as the McCarthy era and-in a way-worse, as, in those days, the Voice preganda arm.

under President should be reflected. In 1960. Eisenhower and after much study, the agency finally received a charter which gave it the green light to be candid and British Broadcasting Corp.

"Heaviest" Censorship

censorship in their history. No and advocating negotiation, was dispute over handling of news edited out of a Voice broadcast. has arisen, but every commen-tary must be cleared with the be honest, we can't even reflect policy department of the Voice's any diversity or discussion,' parent agency, the U.S. Information Agency, before broadon another recent occasion

advice from the State Departca, made a farewell speech that to communicate with different

Voice officials have given up Every one present in the trying to reason with State Departmental Auditorium knew Department policy makers who think Voice commentary should the course of his lengthy ment by the President or the be as authoritative as a state-

> "downtown" think, Others according to Loomis and his associates, that nobody in foreign countries will know that something has happened if it is not mentioned on the Voice. In vain, they have pointed out that in many nations the listener has his choice of national radios.

Clashes With Agency

Last week brought two clashes within the agency over tended to be little but a propa- how the difference of opinion on our South Viet Nam policy

For a daily roundup of worldwide editorial opinion, the Voice included a critical, frontobjective, in the manner of the page editorial in the Paris newspaper "Le Monde." It was deleted downtown.

"Heaviest" Censorship

But since the raids on Pleiku, say Voice officials, they have been chafing under the heaviest statement on Viet Nam policy of the property of the prope

On another recent occasion, there was disagreement over. The USIA has the benefit of how much of Sen. Thomas

Dodd's pro-administration Menry Loomis, departing Loomis said in his speech that carried, as against how much of director of the Voice of Ameriadministration speech.

> A background broadcast had been held up for several days until Church's critical speech was answered, and then the Voice was instructed to call it "not a debate but a discussion."

> "We're getting like Radio Moscow," said one Voice official. "That is precisely what they do. They never quote unfavorable editorials."

No Negotiation Commentary

The Voice has not been permitted to send out any background commentary negotiations for fear it will be misinterpreted as a sign that they are coming. Loomis and others think the Voice has built up a reputation for objectivity and credibility that guards against such misunderstandings:

"Some people think the Voice in a national emergency should be a tactical weapon," says Loomis, "but we think we should be a strategic weapon, building up confidence over the long haul."

Everyone agrees that the Voice was first "bent" during the Cuban missiles crisis of October 1962, when the administration quite frankly "man-aged" the news. But that was quickly over. People at the Voice are now disturbed about the "heavy handed propaganda line" that has been in effect the last month.

So Loomis' speech was much appreciated. Only, as one of his listeners said, "I wish it had been made by somebody other" than a retiring director."

CPYRGHT